

# THE LONDON RESORT

## The London Resort Development Consent Order

BC080001

### Environmental Statement Volume 2: Appendices

## Appendix 18.17 – Preliminary Tunnel Impact Assessment

Document reference: 6.2.18.17

Revision: 00

December 2020

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Regulation 5(2)(a)

The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

Regulation 12(1)

[This page is intentionally left blank]

<b>NR/L2/CIV/003/F001: APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE</b>			
Document reference	0042936_LR_BUR_DCO_GEO_1009	Revision	00
GRIP Stage		Date	02/10/2020

Project Title	The London Resort		
Project Nr	042936		
Location	Swanscombe Peninsular, Kent		
ELR	TRL2	Mileage	34200 to 34875
Asset Nr	TBC	OS grid ref	TQ 60217 75703
RRD Reference Nr			<i>Revision &amp; date</i>
DRRD Reference Nr			<i>Revision &amp; date</i>
CR-T Reference Nr			<i>Revision &amp; date</i>
Other AiP documents associated with this submission	Appendix B - London Resort Preliminary Tunnel Impact Assessment (Doc. No. 042936-BH-DCO-GE-1008 Rev P01, dated 29 September) Appendix C – Drawing Number 320-DCA-03360-00012-AA, Drawing Number 014-HS1-1D000-00248-00		

## PART 1: DETAILS

### 1.1 Proposed works

Buro Happold has been appointed by London Resorts Company Holdings Limited to provide civil and geotechnical advice in connection with the development of a new entertaining resort on Swanscombe Peninsula, on the south bank of the River Thames.


Some excavation and filling works are required in connection with the proposed development. Although these works will be undertaken near the existing HS1 tunnels, preliminary analyses suggest that the imposed tunnel deflections and changes of stress are within tolerable limits.

### 1.2 Assets affected

Refer to Appendix A.

## PART 2: DESIGNER'S SUBMISSION

I confirm that the criteria specified in NR/L2/CIV/003 have been considered and that the Design is submitted for Approval in Principle on behalf of BuroHappold Limited, 17 Newman Street, London W1T 1PD, UK.

Signed		Title Director
Name (print) Rachel Monteith		Date 02/10/2020
To be signed by the <b>Contractor's Responsible Engineer for the Design Phase.</b>		

<b>NR/L2/CIV/003/F001: APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE</b>				
Document reference	0042936_LR_BUR_DCO_GEO_1009	Revision	00	
GRIP Stage		Date	02/10/2020	

### **PART 3: SUPPLEMENTARY NETWORK RAIL REVIEWS AND ENDORSEMENT**

#### **NOT REQUIRED**

##### **~~Security, Emergency and Contingency Review~~**

~~My comments on the submission are given below. Provided that these comments are addressed, I hereby endorse the Approval in Principle of the above proposals regarding the physical security, emergency and contingency arrangements of railway infrastructure.~~

<del>Signed</del>	<del>Title</del>
<del>Name (print)</del>	<del>Date</del>
<del>To be signed by the <b>Security and contingency planning specialist</b></del>	

##### **~~Station Pedestrian Capacity and Evacuation Review~~**

~~My comments on the submission are given below. Provided that these comments are addressed, I hereby endorse Approval in Principle of the above proposals regarding Station capacity and evacuation.~~

<del>Signed</del>	<del>Title</del>
<del>Name (print)</del>	<del>Date</del>
<del>To be signed by the <b>Network Rail Capacity Engineer</b></del>	

##### **~~Fire Safety Review~~**

~~My comments on the submission are given below. Provided that these comments are addressed, I hereby endorse Approval in Principle of the above proposals regarding Fire Safety.~~

<del>Signed</del>	<del>Title</del>
<del>Name (print)</del>	<del>Date</del>
<del>To be signed by the <b>Network Rail Fire Engineer</b></del>	

<b>NR/L2/CIV/003/F001: APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE</b>			
Document reference	0042936_LR_BUR_DCO_GEO_1009	Revision	00
GRIP Stage		Date	02/10/2020

#### PART 4: PROJECT ENGINEER'S COMMENTS

I have considered this submission for Approval in Principle and I am satisfied that this has adequately addressed the criteria specified in NR/L2/CIV/003 and confirm that the Design of the Permanent Works is to be checked in accordance with the Design Check Categories listed in **Error! Reference source not found.** of NR/L2/CIV/003.

My comments on the submission are given below. Provided that these comments are addressed, I hereby give Approval in Principle to the proposals.

Signed	Title
Name (print)	Date
To be signed by the <b>NR Asset Protection Engineer (Building and Civil Engineering)</b>	

Signed	Title
Name (print)	Date
To be signed by <b>other responsible person for other disciplines</b> ( <i>if applicable</i> ) <b>(Project Engineer (Building Services) for example)</b>	

#### PART 5: ASSET MANAGER'S APPROVAL

I have considered the submission and confirm that this is approved subject to the comments given below being addressed within the Detailed Design.

Signed	Title
Name (Print)	Date
To be signed by the <b>Asset Manager (Structures)</b>	

Signed	Title
Name (Print)	Date
To be signed by the <b>Asset Manager (Geotechnical)</b>	

Signed	Title
Name (Print)	Date
To be signed by the <b>Asset Manager (Drainage)</b>	

Signed	Title
Name (Print)	Date
To be signed by the <b>Asset Manager (Buildings)</b>	

<b>NR/L2/CIV/003/F001: APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE</b>			
Document reference	0042936_LR_BUR_DCO_GEO_1009	Revision	00
GRIP Stage		Date	02/10/2020

## APPENDIX A

### A1 LIST OF BUILDINGS AND CIVILS ENGINEERING ASSETS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSAL

#### 1. Asset No 1

##### Asset No 1

Description	Twin Bored Tunnels		
Location	Refer to Figure 2-3 in Appendix B and the Drawings attached in Appendix C		
ELR	TRL2	Mileage	34200 to 34875
Asset Nr	TBC	OS grid ref	TQ 60217 75703

#### A1.1 DRAWINGS AND MODELS OF PROPOSALS

The proposed development comprises the construction of a new theme park, together with associated transport, accommodation, and back-of-house infrastructure.

In addition to the building and infrastructure works, extensive earthworks comprising both cut and fill are required to provide a development platform. The impact of the earthworks on the bored tunnels is the focus of this AIP.

Further details can be found in Section 3 of Appendix B.

#### A1.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

- ~~Design Life~~
- ~~Operational requirements~~
- ~~Loading requirements~~
- ~~Fire resistance and escape times~~
- ~~Diversity and Inclusion requirements arising from a DIA~~
- ~~Station pedestrian capacity assessment~~
- ~~Environmental requirements~~

Change of vertical stress and settlement along the bored tunnels resulting from the proposed excavation and filling works have been evaluated using the Oasys programme PDISP, with associated impacts to the tunnel lining being evaluated in accordance with BS EN 1991, Duddeck & Erdmann (1982), and Morgan (1961).

The details of the analysis and the results are provided in Sections 5 and 6 of Appendix B.

<b>NR/L2/CIV/003/F001: APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE</b>			
Document reference	0042936_LR_BUR_DCO_GEO_1009	Revision	00
GRIP Stage		Date	02/10/2020

**A1.3 ANTICIPATED DEVIATIONS FROM STANDARDS (with justification)**

Not anticipated.

**A1.4 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Geotechnical considerations are given in Section 4 of Appendix B.

The earthworks are anticipated to fall under Geotechnical Category 2 (BS EN 1997-1).

**A1.5 ACCOMPANYING DRAWINGS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**

Appendix B - London Resort Preliminary Tunnel Impact Assessment (Doc. No. 042936-BH-DCO-GE-1008 Rev P01, dated 29 September)

Appendix C – Drawing Number 320-DCA-03360-00012-AA, Drawing Number 014-HS1-1D000-00248-00.

**A1.6 OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION**

- ~~• Details of existing parts/elements of structures/services to be retained and incorporated into the Design~~
- ~~• Unusual features~~
- ~~• Novel or unusual use of materials and/or structural components~~
- ~~• Details of capacity assessments (for example, pedestrian modelling)~~
- ~~• Designers' Risk Assessments~~
- ~~• Indicative description of the construction sequence~~

Not applicable.

**A1.7 REQUIREMENTS FOR OPERATION, INSPECTION, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, RENEWAL OR REMOVAL INCLUDING SPECIAL ACCESS ARRANGEMENTS.**

Pre and post condition surveys of the bored tunnels will be required.

**A1.8 CHECKING CATEGORY**

~~The Design of the Permanent Works is proposed to be checked in accordance with the following Categories in NR/L2/CIV/003.~~

<del>Description of asset</del>	<del>Permanent or Temporary Works</del>	<del>Design Check Category</del>

This AIP refers to earthworks only.

**A1.9 TEMPORARY WORKS**

The effects of temporary dewatering will need to be considered at the later stage should it be required.

<b>NR/L2/CIV/003/F001: APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE</b>				
Document reference	0042936_LR_BUR_DCO_GEO_1009	Revision	00	
GRIP Stage		Date	02/10/2020	

## **APPENDIX B –**

London Resort Preliminary Tunnel Impact Assessment (Doc. No. 042936-BH-DCO-GE-1008 Rev P01, dated 29 September)



---

**BURO HAPPOLD**

---

# **London Resort**

## **Preliminary Tunnel Impact Assessment**

**042936-BH-DCO-GE-1008**

**042936**

29 September 2020

Revision P01



Revision	Description	Issued by	Date	Checked
P01	First issue	J. Schoor	29 Sept 20	R. Monteith

This report has been prepared for the sole benefit, use and information of Client name for the purposes set out in the report or instructions commissioning it. The liability of Buro Happold Limited in respect of the information contained in the report will not extend to any third party.

Author **Jesse Schoor**

---

Date **09 Sept 2020**


---

Approved **Rachel Monteith**

---

Signature

---



Date **29 Sept 2020**

---



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Site</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Site Location	9
2.2	Site Description	9
2.3	Existing HS1 Tunnel Infrastructure	11
2.3.1	Retained Cutting	11
2.3.2	Cut-and-Cover Tunnel	12
2.3.3	Bored Tunnels	13
<b>3</b>	<b>Proposed Development</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	General	14
3.2	Excavation and Filling Works	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Ground Conditions</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	Published Geology	17
4.2	Existing Ground Investigation Data	17
4.3	Soil Stratigraphy	19
4.4	Geotechnical Parameters	20
4.5	Hydrogeology	21
<b>5</b>	<b>Method of Analysis</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1	General	22
5.2	Assumptions	22
5.3	Applied Loading and Model Geometry	22
<b>6</b>	<b>Analysis Results</b>	<b>24</b>
6.1	Tunnel Displacement and Change of Vertical Stress	24
6.2	Imposed Radius of Curvature and Gap Width Opening	25
6.3	Tunnel Lining Assessment	26
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Recommendations</b>	<b>27</b>
7.1	Summary and Conclusions	27

7.2	Recommendations	27
7.2.1	Additional Ground Investigation	27
7.2.2	Ground Rules for Development Near HS1 Infrastructure	27

#### Table of Tables

<b>Table 2-1</b>	<b>Details of Existing Bored Tunnel Construction</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Table 4-1</b>	<b>Encountered Soil Stratigraphy</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Table 4-2</b>	<b>Geotechnical Parameters</b>	<b>20</b>

#### Table of Figures

<b>Figure 2-1</b>	<b>Site Location Plan</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Figure 2-2</b>	<b>Site Layout Plan</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 2-3</b>	<b>General Arrangement of Existing HS1 Infrastructure</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Figure 2-4</b>	<b>Retained Cutting Tension Pile Location Plan</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Figure 2-5</b>	<b>Indicative Cross Section for Cut &amp; Cover Tunnel</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Figure 2-6</b>	<b>Typical Lining Construction Detail</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Figure 3-1</b>	<b>Proposed Development Plan</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Figure 3-2</b>	<b>Proposed Development Plan, Main Park</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Figure 3-3</b>	<b>Proposed Excavation and Filling Works</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Figure 4-1</b>	<b>Extract of BGS Sheet 271</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Figure 4-2</b>	<b>Indicative Exploratory Hole Location Plan</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Figure 4-3</b>	<b>Indicative Geological Profile</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Figure 4-4</b>	<b>Results of SPTs</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Figure 4-5</b>	<b>Estimated Undrained Shear Strength for Alluvium</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Figure 5-1</b>	<b>Details of Applied Loading for Excavation and Filling Works</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Figure 6-1</b>	<b>Vertical Displacement</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Figure 6-2</b>	<b>Change of Vertical Stress</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Figure 6-3</b>	<b>Imposed Radius of Curvature</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Figure 6-4</b>	<b>Gap Width Opening</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Figure 6-5</b>	<b>Tunnel Lining Assessment for 50kPa Excavation Unloading</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Figure 7-1</b>	<b>Extent of HS1 Subsoil Ownership</b>	<b>28</b>

Figure 7-2 Indicative Extents of HS1 Safeguarding Zone

## Introduction

Buro Happold has been appointed by London Resorts Company Holdings Limited to provide civil and geotechnical advice in connection with the development of a new entertaining resort on Swanscombe Peninsula, on the south bank of the River Thames.

This report summarises potential impacts of excavation and filling on existing High Speed 1 (HS1) infrastructure and provides a set of ground rules for future development in the vicinity of existing tunnel infrastructure. Although this report is intended to support initial discussions with HS1, additional analyses will be required for individual construction packages at the appropriate stage of design.



# The Site

## Site Location

The site is located on the Swanscombe Peninsula, Kent, on the south bank of the River Thames, and is approximately centred on National Grid Reference TQ 60657 76055. A site location plan is presented as Figure 2-1.

**Figure 2-1 Site Location Plan**



## Site Description

The overall development area comprises 326 hectares covering much of the Swanscombe Peninsula. The red line boundary is presented as Figure 2-2 and indicates the development area to contain:

- Ebbsfleet Station, overland track, retained cuttings, and tunnels associated with the Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL);
- Overland track associated with Network Rail;
- An industrial estate;
- Disused chalk quarries; and
- Disused chalk quarries which have been in-filled with inert, industrial, and commercial waste.


Figure 2-2 Site Layout Plan



### Existing HS1 Tunnel Infrastructure

As outlined above, the site contains a number of HS1 tunnel assets. These include a retained cutting, a cut-and-cover tunnel, and twin bored tunnels. Details of the retained cutting and tunnel infrastructure are provided in the following sections.


Figure 2-3 General Arrangement of Existing HS1 Infrastructure



### Retained Cutting

A retained cutting is present to the immediate south of the cut & cover tunnel section and is formed by twin diaphragm walls, together with a reinforced concrete base slab. As illustrated on Figure 2-4, a series of tension piles are also provided to resist hydrostatic uplift.


Figure 2-4 Retained Cutting Tension Pile Location Plan



### Cut-and-Cover Tunnel

A cut & cover tunnel is situated between the retaining cutting and bored tunnels and comprises twin diaphragm walls, together with base and roof slabs (see Figure 2-5).


Figure 2-5 Indicative Cross Section for Cut & Cover Tunnel



**Bored Tunnels**

Two bored tunnels extend northward of the cut & cover tunnels. These features plunge downward to the River Thames and are spaced approximately ten metres apart. Further details are presented as Figure 2-6 and Table 2-1.

**Figure 2-6 Typical Lining Construction Detail**



**Table 2-1 Details of Existing Bored Tunnel Construction**

Parameter	Details
Internal Diameter	7,150mm
Lining Thickness	350mm
Ring Width	1,493 to 1,507mm
Reinforcement	Steel fibres at 30kg/m <sup>3</sup> Polypropylene fibres at 1kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Concrete Grade	50/60 MPa
Number of Segments	10

# Proposed Development


## General

The proposed development comprises the construction of a new theme park, together with associated transport, accommodation, and back-of-house infrastructure. Further details of the works are provided on Figures 3-1 and 3-2.

**Figure 3-1 Proposed Development Plan**




Figure 3-2 Proposed Development Plan, Main Park



### Excavation and Filling Works

In addition to the building and infrastructure works described previously, extensive cutting and filling works are required to provide a development platform. Indicative depth of excavation and filling works are summarised on the figure below.

Figure 3-3 Proposed Excavation and Filling Works





# Ground Conditions


## Published Geology

Sheet 271 of the British Geological Survey (England & Wales, Solid & Drift Edition) indicates the site to be underlain by the following downward sequence:

- Made Ground;
- Alluvium;
- River Terrace; and
- Upper Chalk

An extract of the BGS sheet is presented as Figure 4-1.


**Figure 4-1 Extract of BGS Sheet 271**



## Existing Ground Investigation Data

Several phases of ground investigation have been undertaken in connection with potential development at the site and the HS1 infrastructure. Indicative exploratory hole locations in the immediate vicinity of the CTRL tunnel infrastructure are presented as Figure 4-2 below.

Figure 4-2 Indicative Exploratory Hole Location Plan



**LEGEND:**

AS-BUILT EXPLORATORY HOLES (2015)

- ◆ BH101 BOREHOLE WITH STANDPIPE
- ◆ WS201 WINDOW SAMPLE WITH STANDPIPE
- TP301 TRIAL PIT


HISTORICAL EXPLORATORY HOLES

- ◆ T067NWS21 HISTORICAL EXPLORATORY HOLE

### Soil Stratigraphy

Details of the soil stratigraphy in the immediate vicinity of the HS1 tunnel portal are presented as Table 4-1, with an indicative geological cross section being presented as Figure 4-3.

Figure 4-3 Indicative Geological Profile



- Legend
- Made Ground
  - Alluvium
  - River Terrace
  - Upper Chalk

Table 4-1 Encountered Soil Stratigraphy

Stratum	Description	Observed Stratigraphy		Design Stratigraphy	
		Elevation of Top of Stratum (m OD)	Stratum Thickness (m)	Elevation of Top of Stratum (m OD)	Stratum Thickness (m)
Made Ground	Landfill comprising variable cement kiln dust, clayey gravel, and cobble-sized brick and concrete fragments	+12.5 to +0.0	7.5 to 17.5	+12.5	10.0
Alluvium	Variable soft to firm clay and soft amorphous peat	+6.0 to -5.0	5.0 to 15.0	+2.5	14.5
River Terrace Deposits	Medium dense sandy gravel	-10.0 to -15.0	1.0 to 7.5	-12.0	4.0
Upper Chalk	Chalk with flints	-16.0 to -20	Not proven	-16.0	Not proven

### Geotechnical Parameters

Geotechnical parameters relevant to the evaluation of vertical displacement and changes of vertical stress are summarised as Table 4-2 below.

**Table 4-2 Geotechnical Parameters**

Stratum	Bulk Unit Weight, $\gamma$ (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Poisson's Ratio, $\mu$		Undrained Shear Strength, $c_u$ (kPa)	Young's Modulus, E (kPa)		Coefficient of Lateral Earth Pressure at Rest, $k_0$
		Short Term	Long Term		Short Term	Long Term	
Made Ground	18	0.2	0.2	--	15,000	15,000	0.6
Alluvium	16	0.5	0.2	See Figure 4-5	500cu	300cu	0.6
River Terrace Deposits	20	0.2	0.2	--	35,000 (1)	35,000 (1)	0.4
Upper Chalk	20	0.2	0.2	--	300,000 (2)	300,000 (2)	1.0

Notes:

1. Young's Modulus for River Terrace is equal to 1,500 times SPT N value
2. CIRIA C574 suggests the secant modulus ( $E_s$ ) for low density Grade B and C chalk to vary between 200 and 700MPa at 200kPa vertical stress . The corresponding  $E_s$  value for medium to high density Grade B / C chalk is noted to vary between 300 and 1,500MPa. For the purpose of this assessment, an  $E_s$  value of 300MPa is assumed.

**Figure 4-4 Results of SPTs**





Figure 4-5 Estimated Undrained Shear Strength for Alluvium



It is noted that SPT in soft or loose soils will underestimate the mass stiffness so further focussed investigations will be useful for future analyses.

### Hydrogeology

Groundwater is contained within the Alluvium, River Terrace Deposits, and Upper Chalk and is in hydraulic connectivity with the River Thames. Monitoring undertaken in the summer of 2015 (see Atkins 2015) confirms site groundwater level to vary between -0.2 and +3.9m OD, with the direction of groundwater flow being generally towards the north (the River Thames).

For the purpose of this assessment, groundwater is assumed to be situated at +0.0m OD.

## Method of Analysis

### General

Change of vertical stress and settlement resulting from the proposed excavation and filling works have been evaluated using the Oasys programme PDISP, with associated impacts to the tunnel lining being evaluated in accordance with BS EN 1991, Duddeck & Erdmann (1982), and Morgan (1961). These analyses have been undertaken in accordance with the 'worst case' set of parameters.

Additional analyses will be required for individual construction packages at the appropriate stage of design.

### Assumptions

The analyses have been undertaken in accordance with the following assumptions:

- The rigid boundary is located ten metres below the Upper Chalk surface;
- In accordance with elastic theory, the change of horizontal stress is equal to  $\frac{\nu}{(1-\nu)}$  times the change of vertical stress;
- Any grouting pressures associated with the original tunnel construction have long since dissipated; and
- The tunnels are constructed entirely within River Terrace gravels.

### Applied Loading and Model Geometry

Details of the applied loading and the PDISP model geometry are provided on Figures 5-1 and 5-2.

**Figure 5-1 Details of Applied Loading for Excavation and Filling Works**





Figure 5-2 Graphical Representation of PDISP Model



# Analysis Results

## Tunnel Displacement and Change of Vertical Stress

Vertical displacement and change of vertical stress along the southernmost HS1 tunnel are summarised on the figures below. It should be noted that vertical displacements have been calculated at tunnel invert level and that change of vertical stress has been calculated at tunnel axis level.

Figure 6-1 Vertical Displacement





Figure 6-2 Change of Vertical Stress



As illustrated on Figures 6-1, vertical movement associated with the excavation and filling works is anticipated to be less than 5mm. This value is very small and is unlikely to affect the serviceability of the existing tunnel infrastructure.



As illustrated on Figure 6-3, the maximum increase of vertical stress is estimated to be of the order of 60 kPa. Although HS1 guidance suggests that a tunnel lining assessment be undertaken for any increase of vertical stress beyond 50kPa, stresses can limited to this value by incorporating lightweight fill into the land-raising works. For this reason, the effects of vertical stress increase have not been considered further.

As illustrated on Figure 6-3, the maximum reduction of vertical stress is estimated to be of the order of 50kPa. The impact of this unloading stress is evaluated further in Section 6.3.

### Imposed Radius of Curvature and Gap Width Opening

As indicated on the figures below, the minimum imposed radius of curvature is of the order of 80km and the associated gap width opening is less than 0.2mm. These values are very small and unlikely to affect the serviceability and/or water-tightness of the tunnel lining.

Figure 6-3 Imposed Radius of Curvature






Figure 6-4 Gap Width Opening



**Tunnel Lining Assessment**

The results of the tunnel lining assessment for the worst case excavation unloading are summarised as Figure 6-5. The analyses confirm that the associated internal normal forces and bending moments are within the ULS envelope for the tunnel lining. These analyses ignore any contribution from the steel fibre reinforcement and assume a maximum radial distortion of 6.5mm (as taken from the PDISP assessment).

Figure 6-5 Tunnel Lining Assessment for 50kPa Excavation Unloading



# Conclusions and Recommendations

## Summary and Conclusions

Buro Happold has been appointed by London Resorts Company Holdings Limited to provide civil and geotechnical advice in connection with the development of a new entertaining resort on Swanscombe Peninsula, on the south bank of the River Thames.

Some excavation and filling works are required in connection with the proposed development. Although these works will be undertaken in close proximity to the existing HS1 tunnels, preliminary analyses show that the imposed tunnel deflections and changes of stress are within tolerable limits.

Additional analyses will be undertaken in connection with various construction packages at the appropriate stage of design.

## Recommendations

### Additional Ground Investigation

Additional ground investigation works are required in connection with the design and construction of the proposed development. Although detailed requirements will be specified at a later stage of design, these works are principally required to confirm:

- Strength and stiffness of the locally occurring Alluvium;
- Existing groundwater conditions and susceptibility to tidal influence; and
- Stiffness of Upper Chalk stratum.

### Ground Rules for Development Near HS1 Infrastructure

Ground rules for development near CTRL infrastructure are provided in the network Rail (High Speed) Asset Protection Development Handbook dated July 2016. Minimum requirements pertaining to tunnels are summarised as follows:

- Existing tunnel infrastructure has been designed to accommodate a 50kPa increase of vertical stress at tunnel axis level. Any increase of vertical stress beyond this value will require an assessment of the tunnel lining capacity. In a meeting dated 12 August 2012, Network Rail (who are responsible for HS1 asset protection) confirmed that additional tunnel lining assessments will also be required where the tunnels are subject to a reduction of vertical stress at tunnel axis level.
- Where temporary dewatering works are required in connection with the proposed development, the impact of these activities on existing tunnel infrastructure will need to be considered.
- As part of the original CTRL development, HS1 was granted ownership of all subsoil located within three metres of the existing tunnels. Importantly, this ownership forms a rectangular section and includes the subsoil located between the twin bored tunnels (see Figure 7-1).
- Although pile exclusion zones are not referenced in the guidance, a license is required prior to undertaking any works within the HS1 subsoil ownership boundary (as defined on Figure 7-1). These licenses are unlikely to be granted for any piles located within three metres of existing tunnels.
- All designs which have the potential to affect existing tunnel infrastructure will be subject to independent (Category 3) checking.

- HS1 consultation is required in connection with any development within the HS1 'safeguarding' zone (see Figure 7-2).

Figure 7-1 Extent of HS1 Subsoil Ownership





Figure 7-2 Indicative Extents of HS1 Safeguarding Zone



# Plans and Drawings

Jesse Schoor  
Buro Happold Limited  
17 Newman Street  
London  
W1T 1PD  
UK




<b>NR/L2/CIV/003/F001: APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE</b>				
Document reference	0042936_LR_BUR_DCO_GEO_1009	Revision	00	
GRIP Stage		Date	02/10/2020	

## **APPENDIX C**

Drawing Number 320-DCA-03360-00012-AA

Drawing Number 014-HS1-1D000-00248-00

FOR CONTINUATION SEE DRG No. 014-HS1-1D000-00247



INSET Scale 1:2500



FOR CONTINUATION SEE INSET

FOR CONTINUATION SEE DRG No. 014-HS1-1D000-00249

Department for Transport  
 Signed with the authority of the Secretary of State for Transport as Landlord

HIGH SPEED  
 Signed on behalf of HS1 Limited as Tenant

Notes  
 Produced by LONDON & CONTINENTAL RAILWAYS LIMITED as agents for the Secretary of State for Transport. Based upon Ordnance Survey large scale digital mapping with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. London & Continental Railways Ltd. Licence No. 100047146 © CROWN COPYRIGHT

- HS1 Lease Boundary
- Rights for the benefit of HS1
- Maintenance strip width 5 metres
- Maintenance strip width 3 metres
- Maintenance strip width 2 metres
- Maintenance strip width 1 metre
- Rights which burden HS1 (Private Rights of Access)
- HS1 Surface water drainage

Drawn By AR Date 27-09-10



Title  
 HS1 LEASE AND LAND RIGHTS

Chainage	Route Window	Original Scale	Original Size
N/A	5430 5431	1:1250	A1


Drawing Number  
 014-HS1-1D000-00248-00

Revision	Date	Description
00	27/09/10	Formerly 014-HS1-1D000-00248-AD (Endorsed)

Mott Parsons Gibb  
 Approved by Mott Parsons Gibb

LCR  
 Approved by London & Continental Railways Limited








- NOTES
- FOR GENERAL NOTES REFER TO DRAWING No. 320-DTA-03000-90003
  - DRAWING TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH DRAWING No. 320-DCA-03360-00011.
  - THE TOPOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON A SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY UNION RAILWAYS LIMITED.
  - THE COORDINATE SYSTEM IS BASED ON LOCAL PROJECT GRID
  - ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRES RELATED TO THE ORDNANCE DATUM (NEWLYN)
  - THE CHAINAGE DATUM IS THE CENTRELINE OF THE DOWN TRACK.

ALIGNMENT INFORMATION FOR PLAN	
Filename:	u:\level support\thames valley\plan\vcvetham.dwg
HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	
Name:	Description
Down Line:	CTRL Down sabbing 246500
Up Line:	CTRL Up sabbing 246500

ALIGNMENT INFORMATION			
Filename:	u:\level support\thames valley\plan\vcvetham.dwg		
HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT (HCL)		VERTICAL ALIGNMENT (VCL)	
Name:	Description	Name:	Description
Down Line:	CTRL Down sabbing 246500	CTRL Down:	sabbing 246500
Up Line:	CTRL Up sabbing 246500	CTRL Up:	sabbing 246500



ALIGNMENT	CHAINAGE	EXISTING GROUND LEVEL	PROPOSED RAIL LEVEL
HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	32+600.000	0.7	-24.884
	32+650.000	3.0	-26.144
	32+700.000	4.8	-27.354
	32+750.000	-1.3	-28.644
	32+800.000	-3.6	-29.864
	32+850.000	-5.6	-31.114
	32+900.000	-7.7	-32.394
	32+950.000	-8.6	-33.644
	32+1000.000	-10.0	-34.874
	32+1050.000	-11.7	-36.074
VERTICAL ALIGNMENT	32+1000.000	-11.7	-36.074
	32+1100.000	-12.8	-37.294
	32+1200.000	-13.2	-38.574
	32+1300.000	-13.8	-39.894
	32+1400.000	-14.4	-41.254
	32+1500.000	-15.0	-42.654
	32+1600.000	-15.4	-44.094
	32+1700.000	-15.8	-45.574
	32+1800.000	-16.2	-47.094
	32+1900.000	-16.6	-48.654
STRAIGHT	32+1900.000	-16.6	-48.654
	32+2000.000	-17.2	-50.254
	32+2100.000	-17.8	-51.894
	32+2200.000	-18.4	-53.574
	32+2300.000	-19.0	-55.294
	32+2400.000	-19.6	-57.054
	32+2500.000	-20.2	-58.854
	32+2600.000	-20.8	-60.694
	32+2700.000	-21.4	-62.574
	32+2800.000	-22.0	-64.494

Rev.	Date	By	Description	Issued For	Checked	Approved
AA				ISSUED FOR TENDER	MG	ESWRP/M

**TENDER**

union RAILWAYS

© Copyright Union Railways 2000 Ltd. 2000  
This drawing is confidential and the copyright is owned by Union Railways 2000 Ltd. The drawing and/or its data cannot be copied or otherwise reproduced in whole or in part without the prior written permission of Union Railways 2000 Ltd.

**RLE RAIL LINK ENGINEERING**

A CONSORTIUM OF  
LOWE ARNOLD & PARTNERS • BECHTEL LIMITED • HALCYON GROUP LTD • SYRIMA

106 Tottenham Court Road, London, W1P 9HF  
Tel: 020 7681 5000 Fax: 020 7681 5555

**Channel Tunnel Rail Link**

SECTION 2 - CONTRACT 320  
OPTION 3  
GENERAL ARRANGEMENT  
SHEET 2 OF 3

Drawn By	Date	Checked By	Date
R. BURNBY	09/10/00	M. GALEY	09/10/00

Authorised By Project Engineer	Date	Authorised By Eng. Manager/Manager of Const.	Date
I. S. WOODS	09/10/00	R. P. MAY	09/10/00

Scale: 1:5000 H, 1:500 V  
Sheet Size: A1

Drawing No: 320-DCA-03360-00012-AA